UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KEITH MCDAY,

Plaintiff,

9:14-cv-997 (GLS/ATB)

٧.

CORRECTION OFFICER D. BUSHEY et al.,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

Keith McDay Pro Se 04-A-4724 Great Meadow Correctional Facility Box 51 Comstock, NY 12821

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

Hon. Eric T. Schneiderman New York State Attorney General The Capitol Albany, NY 12224

DENISE P. BUCKLEY Assistant Attorney General

Gary L. Sharpe Senior District Judge

ORDER

The above-captioned matter comes to this court following a Report-Recommendation (R&R) by Magistrate Judge Andrew T. Baxter, duly filed on August 8, 2016. (Dkt. No. 61.) Following fourteen days from the service thereof, the Clerk has sent the file, including any and all objections filed by the parties herein.

Plaintiff *pro se* Keith McDay filed objections to the R&R. (Dkt. No. 64.) Throughout his objections, McDay complains that summary judgment was inappropriate because, in his view, he was denied discovery. (*Id.*)¹ The objections are otherwise too conclusory to trigger *de novo* review or merely a rehashing or arguments he raised in opposition to defendants' motion. (*Compare id.*, *with* Dkt. No. 59, Attach. 2 at 2-4.) Because the R&R is free from clear error, *see Almonte v. N.Y.S. Div. of Parole*, No. Civ. 904CV484, 2006 WL 149049, at *6 (N.D.N.Y. Jan. 18, 2006), it is adopted in its entirety.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 61) is

ADOPTED in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED that defendants' motion for partial summary judgment

¹ While McDay specifically objects that the R&R "fail[ed] to address" his argument that he was "unable to complete discovery," (Dkt. No. 64 at 1), he is simply incorrect. As the R&R notes, the discovery-related issues were raised and addressed before the summary judgment motion was filed. (Dkt. No. 61 at 9 n.3.)

(Dkt. No. 49), is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED that the claims asserted against defendants Patricia

Mendofik and Superintendent T. LaValley are DISMISSED; and it is further

ORDERED that this case is now deemed trial ready and a trial scheduling order will issue in due course; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to the parties in accordance with this court's Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 9, 2016 Albany, New York